

Information about planned and on-going COVID-19/ACT-A related evaluations by other actors/institutions.

<p>(1) Multilateral Organisation Performance Assessment Network/MOPAN will conduct an analytical study examining multilateral coordination in responding to COVID-19.</p>	<p>MOPAN is seeking to understand how coordination mechanisms among multilateral organizations have contributed to the pandemic response in developing countries. The study will not evaluate any organization's approach to responding to COVID-19 nor its performance but aims to identify lessons and opportunities for strengthening coordination across the multilateral system. The first phase of <i>the study is expected to be completed by September 2022</i>.</p>
<p>(2) Platform for ACT-A Civil Society and Community Representatives Evaluation</p>	<p>The Platform for ACT-A Civil Society and Community Representatives (co-hosted by WACI Health, Global Fund Advocates Network (GFAN) and STOPAIDS) is currently coordinating an evaluation of its work to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify the successes and challenges of this particular endeavour • Provide the co-leads, project consultants and representatives an opportunity to provide feedback and personal reflections on the Platform and its successes and challenges • Identify lessons learned to feed into broader conversations and on-going work by the co-leads on what meaningful community and civil society engagement looks like in global health initiatives, partnerships and platforms <p>More information on this evaluation process will be available here.</p>
<p>(3) UNICEF: Real-Time Assessment of UNICEF's support to the COVID-19 vaccine roll out and immunization programme in the Latin America and the Caribbean region</p>	<p>Available regional_rta_report_lacro (2).pdf</p> <p>The evaluation seeks to understand the role and outcomes of support by Unicef to Countries in the LAC region.</p>
<p>(4) GAVI: Evaluation of Gavi's response to COVID-19</p>	<p>Gavi's COVAX Facility and COVAX AMC initiated an Evaluability Assessment and Evaluation Design Study, which was delivered January 2022 (Final report). Overall, the assessment concluded the COVAX Facility and COVAX AMC design is coherent and complete enough for a robust evaluation and recommended a 10-year horizon evaluation (2022-2030), in line with the initially envisaged life span for the COVAX Facility and COVAX AMC.</p> <p>A Formative Review and Baseline Study will be conducted over the period 2022 - 2023 and constitute 'Phase 1' of the multi-stage evaluation. Preliminary results expected in September.</p>
<p>(5) WHO: Independent evaluation on WHO's COVID-19 Response in Ukraine</p>	<p>Now available online.</p> <p>The evaluation provided an independent, objective, and systematic assessment of WHO's preparedness for, and response to, COVID-19 in Ukraine. It addressed WCO Ukraine's strategy, interventions, operations, performance and</p>

	<p>results to date, as well as its engagement and coordination with partners towards these same ends. It also examined key pre-COVID-19 preparedness measures that were in place in early 2020 in terms of supporting/inhibiting WHO's mobilisation and support efforts. There are 12 recommendations: six directed to the Country Office, and six to regional/global levels. The findings and recommendations provide useful lessons and insights for other WHO Country Offices, Regional Offices and HQ in their COVID-19 responses, noting the need to tailor to respective contexts.</p>
<p>(6) COVAX Advance Market Commitment Annual Review 2021</p>	<p>FCDO review – available https://devtracker.fcdo.gov.uk/projects/GB-1-201185/documents</p> <p>The review focused on UK aid to Covax in 2021 and assigned an A grade. The review recognized the difficulties faced in responding to such a dynamic situation and cover issues of governance, finance and recommendations into the future.</p>
<p>(7) CEPI:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mid-term review and COVID-19 response review - Evaluation of CEPI's COVID-19 Vaccine Development Agreements CEPI 1.0 Independent Outcome Evaluation 	<p>Conducted in 2020, available on CEPI's website here</p> <p>Completed in 2022, available on CEPI's website here</p> <p>Ongoing, final report expected in September</p>
<p>(8) IASC Evaluation of the Humanitarian Response to Covid-19</p>	<p>The subject of this evaluation is the collective preparedness and response of the IASC member agencies at the global, regional, and country level in meeting the humanitarian needs of people in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>The ToR identify that the intended purpose of the evaluation is for learning and accountability and the main objectives of this evaluation are threefold, namely to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Determine the extent to which the IASC member agencies' collective preparedness and response actions, including its existing and adapted special measures, were relevant to addressing humanitarian needs in the context of the pandemic. ▪ Assess the results achieved from these actions at the global, regional and country level in support of people, and with governments and local actors. ▪ Identify best practices, opportunities and lessons learnt that will help to improve ongoing and future humanitarian responses, including through wider and accelerated adaptation of certain humanitarian policies, approaches, and practices.

	You can find the inception report on IASC homepage. Reporting due July – September 2022 and dissemination September 2022 and onwards. A one pager to be found in the following link: Inter-Agency Evaluation of the Humanitarian Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic, Terms of Reference IASC (interagencystandingcommittee.org)
(9) Evaluation of WHO's broader reaction to COVID-19	Early stages
(10) GFATM – Covid 19 Response Mechanism Review	In mid-2022 GFATM presented an evaluation of the role and functioning of the C19RM – as well as management letters and a TERG position paper. The review concluded that the C19RM had been a rapid and effective mechanism for providing commodity procurement support and delivery. There were a number of recommendations for future development.
(11) Covid-19 Global Evaluation Coalition	The COVID-19 Global Evaluation Coalition, COVID-19 Global Evaluation Coalition , supports independent, credible evaluation of COVID-19 response and recovery efforts. It is coordinated by the OECD EvalNet Secretariat. You may find a link to portal of COVID-19 evaluations here: https://covid19.alnap.org/covid-19-evaluations .